totally within the Legislative or it should be a cooperative effort, with the state auditor and it was the recommendation of the subcommittee. I believe the entire budget committee at that time, a budget study committee, that the approach outlined in Lb250 was the more prudent approach to go. Now some of the changes that are made in the auditing frequency was done for the purpose of equalizing the work load within the auditor's office. Much of this can be done with the supervision of this legislative audit committee. It was our feeling, I think generally from a cost standpoint, that it was.....

(End of belt #12)